

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,532	09/26/2003	Michael T. Angus	DMNZ 2 00055	3727
7590 10/10/2006			EXAMINER	
Christopher B. Fagan			HOOK, JAMES F	
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP				
7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Superior Avenue			3754	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C	bo
Х	1
1)	U

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/672,532	ANGUS, MICHAEL T.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James F. Hook	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	lv 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
<u>,                                    </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-21,23-33 and 42-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-21,23-33 and 42-48</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application 6)  Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 42, and 45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guarnaschelli. The patent to Guarnaschelli discloses the recited fluid line connector assembly comprising a length of flexible metal corrugated tubing 10,11 having generally a cylindrical tubing end 11a, 10a, and an end fitting 20,21 secured to the ends of the tubing by brazing material provided to connect the ends to the inside of the end fittings, the fitting can be seen to have an inside and outside wall forming a passage through the fitting, a flexible sheath 12 formed of woven wires can be provided on the outside of the cylindrical tubing and held thereto, the non corrugated wall is cylindrical, there is inherently some space between the non corrugated wall and the end fittings to allow for brazing to take place as is set forth, the corrugations are helical, end fitting and the non corrugated end are coaxially received within one another, a shoulder can be seen inside of the end fitting and the non corrugated end of the tube abuts the shoulder.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,532

Art Unit: 3754

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guarnaschelli in view of Diebolt. The patent to Guarnaschelli discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of forming the end fittings of a specific material such as steel that is plated by an electroless nickel plating method. The use of low carbon steel is considered a mere choice of mechanical expedients. The patent to Diebolt discloses that it is old and well known in the art of threaded connectors to form such of steels and to plate the steel with an electroless nickel plating layer as such would protect the ends. It would have been obvious to provide the connectors in Guarnaschelli with an electroless nickel plating layer as suggested by Diebolt where such would help protect the connectors from corrosion thereby extending their life and the life of the hose and thereby saving money.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guarnaschelli in view of Maxwell. The patent to Guarnaschelli discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of describing the make up of the brazing material. The patent to Maxwell discloses the recited use of a brazing material to connect metal pipes to further connecting structure using a material having the materials in the cited ranges set forth in applicants claims 12-15, and 28-31 which have the properties required in claims 11 and 27. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to

substitute for the brazing material in Guarnaschelli to take any form as is known in the art for connecting metals, such as those set forth in Maxwell as such would provide for an adequate connection between dissimilar metals as suggested by Maxwell thereby preventing failure of the joint and saving money in replacement costs.

Claims 16-21, 23, 25 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guarnaschelli in view of Vaill. The patent to Guarnaschelli discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of forming the end connectors with collars to hold the outer sleeve and providing threaded ends. The patent to Vaill discloses the recited fluid line connector assembly comprising a length of flexible metal corrugated tubing 12 having generally a cylindrical tubing end and an end fitting 5 secured to the end of the tubing by brazing material, the fitting can be seen to have an inside and outside wall forming a passage through the fitting, a flexible sheath 17 formed of woven wires can be provided on the outside of the cylindrical tubing and held thereto utilizing both an integral sleeve near 8, and an outer collar 18, and a plurality of threads 6 are provided on the fitting. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute a treaded end provided with a collar for the flanged connector of Guarnaschelli as suggested by Vaill where such is an equivalent type of connector which can be provided to allow for connection to threaded connection applications, and where the collar is a more flexible way to attach the sleeve to the corrugated pipe thereby improving the usefulness and aiding in preventing of failure at the joint between the connector and the sleeve thereby saving money in repair costs to repair premature failure of the hose due to inflexibility at the joint.

Claims 24, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guarnaschelli in view of Vaill as applied to claims 16-21, 23, 25 and 26 above, and further in view of Diebolt. The patent to Guarnaschelli as modified discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of forming the end fittings of a specific material such as steel that is plated by an electroless nickel plating method. The use of low carbon steel is considered a mere choice of mechanical expedients. The patent to Diebolt discloses that it is old and well known in the art of threaded connectors to form such of steels and to plate the steel with an electroless nickel plating layer as such would protect the ends. It would have been obvious to provide the connectors in Guarnaschelli as modified with an electroless nickel plating layer as suggested by Diebolt where such would help protect the connectors from corrosion thereby extending their life and the life of the hose and thereby saving money.

Claims 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guarnaschelli in view of Vaill as applied to claims 16-21, 23, 25 and 26 above, and further in view of Maxwell. The patent to Guarnaschelli as modified discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of describing the make up of the brazing material. The patent to Maxwell discloses the recited use of a brazing material to connect metal pipes to further connecting structure using a material having the materials in the cited ranges set forth in applicants claims 12-15, and 28-31 which have the properties required in claims 11 and 27. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute for the brazing material in Guarnaschelli as modified to take any form as is known in the art for connecting metals, such as those set forth in Maxwell as such

would provide for an adequate connection between dissimilar metals as suggested by Maxwell thereby preventing failure of the joint and saving money in replacement costs.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5-21, 23-33, and 42-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James F. Hook whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday, work at home Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James F. Hook
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3754

JFH